

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/701,504	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TAKEI, HIROFUMI	
	<b>Examiner</b> HELEN SHIBRU	<b>Art Unit</b> 2621	

**All Participants:**

(1) HELEN SHIBRU.

(2) Daniel S. Glueck.

**Status of Application:** RCE after final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 December 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*35 U.S.C. 101 on claims 3 and 5*

**Claims discussed:**

*3 and 5 and withdrawn claims*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*n/a*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's rep. agreed to amend claims 3 and 5 as stated on the Examiner's amendment and cancel the non-elected claims*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thai Tran/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/HELEN SHIBRU/

Examiner, Art Unit 2621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)